arXiv:1107.3345v2 [hep-ph] 17 Nov 2011

Lifshitz theories with extra dimensions and $3+1$-d Lorentz invariance

Xiao-Gang He[*]

INPAC, Department of Physics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China and
Department of Physics and Center for Theoretical Sciences,
National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan

Sandy S. C. Law[†]

Department of Physics, Chung Yuan Christian University, Chung-Li 320, Taiwan

Raymond R. Volkas[‡]

ARC Centre of Excellence for Particle Physics at the Terascale,
School of Physics, The University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia

Abstract

We construct Lifshitz scalar field theories in $4+1$ dimensions which retain $3+1$-d Lorentz invariance and therefore ensure a unique limiting speed in the $3+1$-d world. Such a construction is potentially useful in developing field-theoretic ultraviolet completions of extra-dimensional field theories. The extra dimension y is treated asymmetrically from the usual three spatial dimensions by introducing derivatives of order $2n$ with respect to y in the action. We show that $\lambda\phi^4$ theory becomes progressively less non-renormalisable by power counting as n is increased. This suggests that the non-local theory obtained in the $n \to \infty$ limit may be complete in the ultraviolet.

---

[*] Electronic address: hexg@phys.ntu.edu.tw

[†] Electronic address: slaw@cycu.edu.tw

[‡] Electronic address: raymondv@unimelb.edu.au

I. INTRODUCTION

The proposal by Hořava to cure the ultraviolet (UV) divergence problems of perturbative general relativity through the introduction of terms in the action involving high-order spatial derivatives (Lifshitz theories) [1], has spurred similar studies to be made of other non-renormalisable field theories [2–4]. This is especially relevant for field-theoretic models involving extra dimensions of space [3, 4]. As the number of spatial dimensions is increased, the UV behaviour of Lorentz-invariant field theories becomes more problematic. For example, $\lambda\phi^4$ theory in $3+1$ dimensions is renormalisable with the coupling constant λ having zero mass dimension, while in $4+1$-d or higher renormalisability is lost because λ then has negative mass dimension, just like Newton's constant in general relativity. Field-theoretic models with full extra-dimensional Lorentz invariance are hence necessarily effective theories, defined with a UV cut-off M. For energies above M, one must hope that a suitable UV completion exists, with some string theory being the usual suspect. It would be interesting to have an alternative paradigm for the UV completion of extra-dimensional field theories. The Lifshitz class of theories with anisotropic scale invariance in the UV provides a candidate for such a structure.

Most studies of Lifshitz theories in the high-energy physics literature have treated time and space asymmetrically, while maintaining isotropic scaling within the spatial dimensions themselves. This is an explicit breaking of Lorentz invariance. The hope is that one can construct a theory that flows in the infrared (IR) to a fixed point that respects Lorentz invariance, so special relativity would be an emergent phenomenon, true only at sufficiently low energies. So far, however, it has proven impossible to produce a low-energy effective theory which naturally produces a common limiting speed for all particle species. What happens instead is that, in general, photons, electrons, neutrinos and so on all have different limiting speeds, and fine-tuning of parameters must be undertaken to bring the theory into agreement with experimental bounds on the violation of special relativity [2].

This problem requires a solution before realistic Lifshitz theories (in the high-energy physics domain) can be constructed. The purpose of this paper is to explore a different implementation of Lifshitz scalar field theory in the extra-dimensional context so as to avoid this problem entirely. The idea is simply to treat the extra dimension(s) of space asymmetrically from the three usual spatial dimensions, while maintaining the usual relativistic equivalence of time with those three dimensions: higher-dimensional Lorentz invariance will be absent, but the usual $3+1$-d Lorentz symmetry will be exact (see also Ref. [4]). We shall introduce higher derivatives with respect to the extra dimension(s) in the action in order to quell the bad UV behaviour of standard extra-dimensional field theories. We recognise that such a construction will not deal with the UV problem of general relativity, but we think the development of UV-complete gravity-free field theories in higher dimensions is an interesting exercise in itself.

To start as simply as possible in Sec. II, we shall consider only one extra dimension of space, and stick initially to scalar field theory. The minimal goal is to allow $\lambda\phi^4$ theory to be power-counting renormalisable. Our main result in Sec. III will be that one approaches this situation as one raises the order n of the derivative in the $(\partial^n\phi/\partial y^n)^2$ term in the Lagrangian. We shall demonstrate that the order of perturbation theory at which new counter terms have to be introduced to absorb divergences can be made arbitrarily large by increasing n accordingly. This suggests that the non-local $n \to \infty$ theory may be UV complete, though in the present paper we shall restrict the analysis to the finite n case. Section IV then briefly discusses the extension to a general gauge theory in $4+1$ dimensions, while Sec. V is a conclusion.

II. LIFSHITZ THEORY WITH AN NTH-ORDER DERIVATIVE

Our prototype theory begins with the action

$$S = \frac{1}{2}\int d^4x\, dy \left[\partial^\mu\phi\,\partial_\mu\phi - \frac{1}{\Lambda^{2(n-1)}}\left(\frac{\partial^n\phi}{\partial y^n}\right)^2\right] , \tag{2.1}$$

where letters from the middle of the Greek alphabet such as μ denote the usual $3+1$-d Lorentz indices, with y the extra dimension. Standard $3+1$-d Lorentz invariance holds for S, but the field $\phi(x, y)$ depends both on y and the usual coordinates x. The order n of the derivative is left arbitrary, and the parameter Λ has the dimension of mass in terms of the *usual* natural units, which must not be confused with the anisotropic scaling dimension concept to be introduced next. We shall use the terms "usual mass units" and "scaling mass units" whenever confusion is possible.

Perform the scaling

$$x \to \kappa x, \qquad y \to \kappa^z y, \qquad \phi \to \kappa^a \phi, \tag{2.2}$$

and observe that S is invariant when

$$z = \frac{1}{n}, \qquad a = -1 - \frac{1}{2n} \ . \tag{2.3}$$

The case of usual $4+1$-d relativistic scalar field theory, $n = 1$, sees full isotropic scaling between time and the four spatial coordinates, with ϕ scaling as $\kappa^{-3/2}$. The scaling mass dimension is the negative of the exponent, so we recover the usual result $[\phi] = 3/2$ for the mass dimension of a scalar in $4+1$-d. For $n > 1$, anisotropic scaling holds, and the scaling dimensions of y and ϕ,

$$[x] = -1, \qquad [y] = -\frac{1}{n}, \qquad [\phi] = 1 + \frac{1}{2n} \ , \tag{2.4}$$

differ from their familiar relativistic values.

Our goal is to have $\lambda\phi^4$ theory renormalisable (actually, less non-renormalisable) in $4+1$-d. The rules of power-counting renormalisability require us to use the (anisotropic) scaling mass dimension rather than the natural mass dimension to determine the dimension of λ. A trivial calculation shows that

$$[\lambda] = -\frac{1}{n} \ , \tag{2.5}$$

so any finite value of n requires λ to have a negative mass dimension thus making the theory non-renormalisable. But in the $n \to \infty$ limit, λ becomes dimensionless. This suggests that a power-counting renormalisable theory may be produced in that limit, provided such a non-local theory actually makes sense.[1] For this paper, we shall restrict our attention to finite n and prove the following: although renormalisability does not hold for any finite n, there is a well-defined sense in which the UV behaviour steadily improves as n is increased, tending to full renormalisability as $n \to \infty$.

Following the prescription of power-counting renormalisability, one may add relevant operators to the Lagrangian in S. These operators will explicitly break the scaling symmetry, but they will not affect the UV behaviour of the theory, which will remain dominated by the marginal operators in Eq. (2.1). The only derivative-free relevant operators, and their scaling dimensions, are

---

[1] Formally taking n to be negative produces a λ with positive scaling dimension, which may suggest renormalisability. Mathematically there is, of course, a continuation of the nth derivative to non-integer and negative values, so it may not be ridiculous to contemplate such a theory. Note that negative n implies integration (the inverse of differentiation), so once again a non-local theory is obtained. It is tempting to conjecture that there might be a general connection between UV completeness of the kind of Lifshitz theories being considered here and non-locality.

$$[\phi^2] = -2, \qquad [\phi^3] = -1 + \frac{1}{2n} \, . \tag{2.6}$$

So, the quadratic term is the "mass term" as usual, and the cubic term is renormalisable for all positive integer n. For terms containing derivatives, the rule is

$$a < \frac{1}{2}[2 - b + 2(4 - b)n] \, , \tag{2.7}$$

where a is the number of $\partial/\partial y$ factors, and b is the number of ϕ factors. Hence, any $b = 2$ operator with $a < 2n$ is relevant. For $b = 3$, any $a \leq n - 1$ produces a relevant operator. For $b \geq 4$, there are no solutions for positive a.[2] It is easy to verify that there are no relevant operators involving derivatives with respect to x.

For simplicity, we shall impose the discrete symmetry $\phi \to -\phi$ to remove the $b = 3$ terms. We shall also enforce y-parity symmetry, invariance under $y \to -y$, to remove terms that are odd in $\partial/\partial y$. The full action of our free-field theory, containing both the marginal and relevant terms is

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x dy \left[\partial^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \phi - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \frac{a_\alpha}{\Lambda^{2(\alpha-1)}} \left(\frac{\partial^\alpha \phi}{\partial y^\alpha} \right)^2 - m^2 \phi^2 \right] \, , \tag{2.8}$$

where we are free to set $a_n = 1$.

Note that in the above action, we have assumed that terms such as $\phi(\partial^{2\alpha}\phi/\partial y^{2\alpha})$, $(\partial\phi/\partial y)(\partial^{2\alpha-1}\phi/\partial y^{2\alpha-1})$, and so on, may always be reduced to $(\partial^\alpha\phi/\partial y^\alpha)^2$ by successive integrations-by-parts, dropping the "surface" terms each time. This procedure may be questionable in the non-local $n \to \infty$ limit, where boundary conditions with respect to y may need special treatment. As a general statement, the $n \to \infty$ limit requires careful consideration, because for any finite n the theory is local, whereas in the limit it is non-local.

---

[2] Note that at finite n, renormalisable interaction terms can exist, since $b = 3$ terms are allowed (indeed ϕ^3 is always one of them, even for $n = 1$). But our goal is to have quartic renormalisable interactions, because we want potentials that are bounded from below and because cubic terms are often forbidden by some internal symmetry.

III. POWER-COUNTING RENORMALISATION ANALYSIS

From Eq. (2.8), the propagator for the scalar field ϕ in momentum space reads

$$\Delta_\phi(k,k_y) = \frac{i}{k^2 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} G_\alpha k_y^{2\alpha} - m^2 + i\epsilon} \ , \tag{3.1}$$

where $G_\alpha \equiv a_\alpha/\Lambda^{2(\alpha-1)}$ which has scaling dimension $[G_\alpha] = 2(1-\alpha/n)$, $k^2 = k^\mu k_\mu$ is the usual scalar product in $3+1$-d spacetime, and k_y denotes the momentum component along the extra-dimension y. Note that the scaling dimensions for k, k_y and Δ_ϕ are, respectively,

$$[k] = 1 \ , \qquad [k_y] = \frac{1}{n} \ , \qquad [\Delta_\phi] = -2 \ . \tag{3.2}$$

In the limit of large k_y, the nth term of the summation in (3.1) dominates and we effectively have

$$\Delta_\phi(k,k_y) \simeq \frac{i}{k^2 - G_n k_y^{2n} - m^2 + i\epsilon} \ . \tag{3.3}$$

The rapid decay of the propagator with increasing k_y for large n is the reason higher spatial derivatives soften the UV behaviour.

Having noted the novel scaling properties above, which encode the UV properties of our theory, the power-counting analysis proceeds in a fairly straightforward manner, mimicking the usual procedure for a ϕ^4-theory in $3+1$-d [5]. By going through this argument, we will be able to see exactly how the parameter n progressively allows the "bad" divergences to be relegated to higher and higher orders of perturbation theory as it is increased.

So, we now add a $\lambda\phi^4$ term into our action. We start by noting that the scaling dimension of any diagram (made up of $\lambda\phi^4$ vertices only) with an arbitrary number of external lines, ℓ_E, is given by the sum of the dimensions of its components, namely

$$[\text{diag-}\ell_E] = \left[d^4k\, dk_y\right] L + [\Delta_\phi]\, \ell_P + [\lambda]\, V_\lambda \ , \tag{3.4}$$

$$= \left(4 + \frac{1}{n}\right) L - 2\ell_P - \frac{V_\lambda}{n} \ , \tag{3.5}$$

where L, ℓ_P and V_λ denote the number of loops, propagators and $\lambda\phi^4$ vertices, respectively.[3] For convenience, we have opted not to show the dependence on ℓ_E in [diag-ℓ_E] explicitly at this point.

---

[3] Without loss of generality, we may assume these diagrams are all one-particle irreducible.

Since the coupling constant λ is not a function of k and k_y, the $[\lambda]\, V_\lambda$ component of (3.5) drops out when performing the loop integrations, and hence the dimensionality of that integral (the "superficial degree of divergence") is

$$D_{\text{sup}} = \left(4 + \frac{1}{n}\right) L - 2\ell_P \ , \tag{3.6}$$

$$= [\text{diag-}\ell_E] + \frac{V_\lambda}{n} \ . \tag{3.7}$$

Applying the handshaking lemma and Euler's formula from graph theory to our system, we can write down, respectively,

$$4V_\lambda + \ell_E = 2(\ell_E + \ell_P) \ , \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$L + V_\lambda = \ell_P + 1 \ . \tag{3.9}$$

Substituting these into the definition for [diag-ℓ_E], we can express (3.7) as a function of ℓ_E, n and V_λ as per

$$D_{\text{sup}} = \left(4 + \frac{1}{n}\right) - \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n}\right) \ell_E + \frac{V_\lambda}{n} \ . \tag{3.10}$$

Observe that for a given ℓ_E, the superficial degree of divergence gets worse as you increase the number of vertices (and hence loops) in a diagram. In other words, the theory will require an endless stream of counterterms corresponding to each ℓ_E case for sufficiently large V_λ. But (3.10) also shows that we can simply choose a value for n that is large enough to render the theory (superficially) renormalisable up to a certain order of perturbation theory. Requiring that the superficial degree of divergence be negative for $\ell_E > 4$, we obtain the requirement

$$n > \frac{1 - \ell_E/2 + V_\lambda}{\ell_E - 4} \ . \tag{3.11}$$

For a given order of perturbation theory V_λ, satisfaction of this inequality guarantees that all loop graphs apart from $\ell_E = 2$ and 4 are convergent, and thus no counterterms are required. Of course, the superficial degrees of divergence for 2-point and 4-point functions, given, respectively, by

$$2 + \frac{V_\lambda}{n} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{V_\lambda - 1}{n} \tag{3.12}$$

are always non-negative, so those divergences must be removed in the standard way. Observe that at a given perturbation theory order, these divergences are softened by increasing n. In the limit $n \to \infty$, the dependence on V_λ disappears and (3.10) reduces to the standard ϕ^4-theory result of $4 - \ell_E$.

Let us end with a simple example: suppose we want no divergences (apart from the removable ones for 2- and 4-point functions) to appear before 6th-order. Then Eq. (3.11) tells us we must take $n > 2$ (the strongest constraint is obtained from the smallest allowed $\ell_E > 4$, which is 6).

IV. EXTENSION TO GENERAL GAUGE THEORY

A simple examination of Yukawa and gauge extensions of the above suggests that a general gauge theory of scalars and fermions shares the feature of becoming less non-renormalisable as n is increased, though a thorough study of this is beyond the scope of this paper.

The marginal $3+1$-d Lorentz invariant kinetic energy operators for a Dirac field $\psi(x,y)$ provide the action

$$S_\psi = \int d^4x\, dy \left[i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi + \bar{\psi}(a + b\gamma_5)\frac{\partial^n \psi}{\partial y^n} \right], \tag{4.1}$$

where $a(b)$ is real (pure imaginary) for even n and pure imaginary (real) for odd n to ensure hermiticity. This action tells us that the scaling dimension of the Dirac field is

$$[\psi] = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2n}. \tag{4.2}$$

This means that Yukawa coupling terms $h_1\bar{\psi}\psi\phi + h_2\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\psi\phi$ feature Yukawa coupling constants with dimension

$$[h_{1,2}] = -\frac{1}{2n}, \tag{4.3}$$

so they share the property with quartic coupling constants of becoming dimensionless as n tends to infinity.

The standard gauge field kinetic term $-(1/4)\mathrm{Tr}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}$, where the trace is over suitably normalised internal symmetry generators, enforces

$$[A] = 1 + \frac{1}{2n} \tag{4.4}$$

for the gauge field $A^\mu(x,y)$. The scaling dimension of a gauge coupling constant g, defined by the covariant $3+1$-d derivative $\partial_\mu - igA_\mu$, is then obviously

$$[g] = -\frac{1}{2n}, \tag{4.5}$$

just as for the Yukawa coupling constant. Since both gauge and Yukawa coupling constants become dimensionless as n tends to infinity, it is probable that a general Lifshitz gauge theory of this type also has the property of becoming less non-renormalisable as n increases.

The restriction to $3+1$-d Lorentz invariance suggests that the gauge field need only have four components, with the gauge transformation

$$A_\mu(x,y) \to U(x)A_\mu(x,y)U(x)^\dagger - \frac{i}{g}(\partial_\mu U(x))U(x)^\dagger \tag{4.6}$$

depending only on x, not on both x and y. In this case, the gauge-invariant Lifshitz term $\mathrm{Tr}(\partial^n A^\mu/\partial y^n)(\partial^n A_\mu/\partial y^n)$ can be introduced. Gauge invariance is essential in producing standard renormalisable theories of vector fields, so one should be cautious about restricting the gauge functions U to only being dependent on x. If the gauge transformations are required to depend on y as well as x, then the above Lifshitz term is forbidden and a fifth component A_5 must be introduced to produce a covariant derivative $D_y \equiv \partial/\partial y - igA_5(x,y)$ along the y-direction. The gauge-invariant term $-(1/2)\mathrm{Tr}F^{\mu 5}F_{\mu 5}$ then provides the kinetic and gradient energy for A_5. Since this term necessarily contains x-derivatives, higher powers of it are disallowed by the need to avoid the higher time derivatives that would spoil unitarity. Note that it is a relevant operator. This kind of Lifshitz gauge theory was recently studied in Ref. [4]. Note that the nth ordinary derivative in Eq. (4.1) would need to be replaced by the nth power of D_y.

V. CONCLUSION

We have introduced a new kind of Lifshitz theory that may have uses in field-theoretic models involving extra dimensions of space. It maintains $3+1$-d Lorentz invariance, thus ensuring a common limiting speed on that submanifold, but the extra dimension is treated differently. Derivatives of arbitrarily high order with respect to the extra dimension are introduced in order to suppress the propagation of states which have large momentum components along that direction. For $\lambda\phi^4$ theory in $4+1$-d, we proved that the theory becomes less non-renormalisable as the order of the derivative is increased, and suggested that this is also true for a general gauge theory of scalars and fermions including Yukawa coupling terms. We speculate that the non-local theory obtained as the order of the derivative goes to infinity may be ultra-violet complete. The suppression of momentum components along the extra dimension also suggests a connection with dynamical localisation and dimensional reduction.

Acknowledgements

We thank A. Kobakhidze and A. Zee for comments on the manuscript. This work was supported in part by the Australian Research Council. XGH is partially supported by the NSC and NCTS of Taiwan, and SJTU 985 grant of China. SSCL is supported in part by the NSC and in part by the NCTS of Taiwan.

[1] P. Hořava, Phys. Rev. D79, 084008 (2009); JHEP 0903, 020 (2009); Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 161301 (2009); P. Hořava and C. M. Melby-Thompson, Phys. Rev. D82, 064027 (2010).

[2] R. Iengo, J. G. Russo and M. Serone, JHEP 0911, 020 (2009); D. Anselmi, Annals Phys. 324, 874 (2009); *ibid.* 324, 1058 (2009); Phys. Rev. D79, 025017 (2009); R. Iengo and M. Serone, Phys. Rev. D81, 125005 (2010); B. Chen and Q. G. Huang, Phys. Lett. B683, 108 (2010).

[3] J. E. Thompson and R. R. Volkas, Phys. Rev. D82, 116007 (2010).

[4] H. Hatanaka, M. Sakamoto and K. Takenaga, arXiv:1105.3534.

[5] See, for example, M. Srednicki, *Quantum Field Theory* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007); A. Zee, *Quantum Field Theory in a Nutshell*, 2nd ed., (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2010).

arXiv:1107.3345v2 [hep-ph] 17 Nov 2011

Lifshitz theories with extra dimensions and $3+1$-d Lorentz invariance

Xiao-Gang He*

INPAC, Department of Physics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China and
Department of Physics and Center for Theoretical Sciences,
National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan

Sandy S. C. Law†

Department of Physics, Chung Yuan Christian University, Chung-Li 320, Taiwan

Raymond R. Volkas‡

ARC Centre of Excellence for Particle Physics at the Terascale,
School of Physics, The University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia

Abstract

We construct Lifshitz field theories in $4+1$ dimensions which retain $3+1$-d Lorentz invariance and therefore ensure a unique limiting speed in the $3+1$-d world. Such a construction is potentially useful in developing field-theoretic ultraviolet completions of extra-dimensional field theories. The extra dimension y is treated asymmetrically from the usual three spatial dimensions by introducing derivatives of order $2n$ with respect to y in the action. We show that $\lambda\phi^4$ theory becomes progressively less non-renormalisable by power counting as n is increased. This suggests that the non-local theory obtained in the $n \to \infty$ limit may be complete in the ultraviolet.

---

*Electronic address: hexg@phys.ntu.edu.tw

†Electronic address: slaw@cycu.edu.tw

‡Electronic address: raymondv@unimelb.edu.au

I. INTRODUCTION

The proposal by Hořava to cure the ultraviolet (UV) divergence problems of perturbative general relativity through the introduction of terms in the action involving high-order spatial derivatives (Lifshitz theories) [1], has spurred similar studies to be made of other non-renormalisable field theories [2–4]. This is especially relevant for field-theoretic models involving extra dimensions of space [3–5]. As the number of spatial dimensions is increased, the UV behaviour of Lorentz-invariant field theories becomes more problematic. For example, $\lambda\phi^4$ theory in $3+1$ dimensions is renormalisable with the coupling constant λ having zero mass dimension, while in $4+1$-d or higher renormalisability is lost because λ then has negative mass dimension, just like Newton's constant in general relativity. Field-theoretic models with full extra-dimensional Lorentz invariance are hence necessarily effective theories, defined with a UV cut-off M. For energies above M, one must hope that a suitable UV completion exists, with some string theory being the usual suspect. It would be interesting to have an alternative paradigm for the UV completion of extra-dimensional field theories. The Lifshitz class of theories with anisotropic scale invariance in the UV provides a candidate for such a structure.

Most studies of Lifshitz theories in the high-energy physics literature have treated time and space asymmetrically, while maintaining isotropic scaling within the spatial dimensions themselves. This is an explicit breaking of Lorentz invariance. The hope is that one can construct a theory that flows in the infrared to a fixed point that respects Lorentz invariance, so special relativity would be an emergent phenomenon, true only at sufficiently low energies. So far, however, it has proven impossible to produce a low-energy effective theory which naturally produces a common limiting speed for all particle species. What happens instead is that, in general, photons, electrons, neutrinos and so on all have different limiting speeds, and fine-tuning of parameters must be undertaken to bring the theory into agreement with experimental bounds on the violation of special relativity [2].

This problem requires a solution before realistic Lifshitz theories (in the high-energy physics domain) can be constructed. The purpose of this paper is to explore a different implementation of Lifshitz scalar field theory in the extra-dimensional context so as to avoid this problem entirely. The idea is simply to treat the extra dimension(s) of space asymmetrically from the three usual spatial dimensions, while maintaining the usual relativistic equivalence of time with those three dimensions: higher-dimensional Lorentz invariance will be absent, but the usual 3 + 1-d Lorentz symmetry will be exact (see also Ref. [4, 5]). We shall introduce higher derivatives with respect to the extra dimension(s) in the action in order to quell the bad UV behaviour of standard extra-dimensional field theories. We recognise that such a construction will not deal with the UV problem of general relativity, but we think the development of UV-complete gravity-free field theories in higher dimensions is an interesting exercise in itself.

To start as simply as possible in Sec. II, we shall consider only one extra dimension of space, and stick initially to scalar field theory. The minimal goal is to allow $\lambda\phi^4$ theory to be power-counting renormalisable. Our main result in Sec. III will be that one approaches this situation as one raises the order n of the derivative in the $(\partial^n\phi/\partial y^n)^2$ term in the Lagrangian. We shall demonstrate that the order of perturbation theory at which new counter terms have to be introduced to absorb divergences can be made arbitrarily large by increasing n accordingly. This suggests that the non-local $n \to \infty$ theory may be UV complete, though in the present paper we shall restrict the analysis to the finite n case. Section IV then briefly discusses the extension to a general gauge theory in 4 + 1 dimensions, while Sec. V is a conclusion.

II. LIFSHITZ THEORY WITH AN NTH-ORDER DERIVATIVE

Our prototype theory begins with the action

$$S = \frac{1}{2}\int d^4x\, dy \left[\partial^\mu\phi\,\partial_\mu\phi - \frac{1}{\Lambda^{2(n-1)}}\left(\frac{\partial^n\phi}{\partial y^n}\right)^2\right] , \tag{2.1}$$

where letters from the middle of the Greek alphabet such as μ denote the usual 3 + 1-d Lorentz indices, with y the extra dimension. Standard 3 + 1-d Lorentz invariance holds for S, but the field $\phi(x, y)$ depends both on y and the usual coordinates x. The order n of the derivative is left arbitrary, and the parameter Λ has the dimension of mass in terms of the *usual* natural units, which must not be confused with the anisotropic scaling dimension concept to be introduced next. We may use the terms "usual mass units" and "scaling mass units", respectively.

Perform the scaling

$$x \to \kappa x, \qquad y \to \kappa^z y, \qquad \phi \to \kappa^a \phi, \tag{2.2}$$

and observe that S is invariant when

$$z = \frac{1}{n}, \qquad a = -1 - \frac{1}{2n} \ . \tag{2.3}$$

The case of usual $4+1$-d relativistic scalar field theory, $n = 1$, sees full isotropic scaling between time and the four spatial coordinates, with ϕ scaling as $\kappa^{-3/2}$. The scaling mass dimension is the negative of the exponent, so we recover the usual result $[\phi] = 3/2$ for the mass dimension of a scalar in $4+1$-d. For $n > 1$, anisotropic scaling holds, and the scaling dimensions of y and ϕ,

$$[x] = -1, \qquad [y] = -\frac{1}{n}, \qquad [\phi] = 1 + \frac{1}{2n} \ , \tag{2.4}$$

differ from their familiar relativistic values.

Our goal is to have $\lambda\phi^4$ theory renormalisable (actually, less non-renormalisable) in $4+1$-d. The rules of power-counting renormalisability require us to use the (anisotropic) scaling mass dimension rather than the natural mass dimension to determine the dimension of λ. A trivial calculation shows that

$$[\lambda] = -\frac{1}{n} \ , \tag{2.5}$$

so any finite value of n requires λ to have a negative mass dimension thus making the theory non-renormalisable. But in the $n \to \infty$ limit, λ becomes dimensionless. This suggests that a power-counting renormalisable theory may be produced in that limit, provided such a non-local theory actually makes sense.[1] For this paper, we shall restrict our attention to finite n and prove the following: although renormalisability does not hold for any finite n, there is a well-defined sense in which the UV behaviour steadily improves as n is increased, tending to full renormalisability as $n \to \infty$.

Following the prescription of power-counting renormalisability, one may add relevant operators to the Lagrangian in S. These operators will explicitly break the scaling symmetry, but they will not affect the UV behaviour of the theory, which will remain dominated by the marginal operators in Eq. (2.1). The only derivative-free relevant operators, and their scaling dimensions, are

---

[1] Formally taking n to be negative produces a λ with positive scaling dimension, which may suggest renormalisability. Mathematically there is, of course, a continuation of the nth derivative to non-integer and negative values, so it may not be ridiculous to contemplate such a theory. Note that negative n implies integration (the inverse of differentiation), so once again a non-local theory is obtained. It is tempting to conjecture that there might be a general connection between UV completeness of the kind of Lifshitz theories being considered here and non-locality.

$$[\phi^2] = -2, \qquad [\phi^3] = -1 + \frac{1}{2n} \,. \tag{2.6}$$

So, the quadratic term is the "mass term" as usual, and the cubic term is renormalisable for all positive integer n. For terms containing derivatives, the rule is

$$a < \frac{1}{2}[2 - b + 2(4 - b)n] \,, \tag{2.7}$$

where a is the number of $\partial/\partial y$ factors, and b is the number of ϕ factors. Hence, any $b = 2$ operator with $a < 2n$ is relevant. For $b = 3$, any $a \leq n-1$ produces a relevant operator. For $b \geq 4$, there are no solutions for positive a.[2] It is easy to verify that there are no relevant operators involving derivatives with respect to x.

For simplicity, we shall impose the discrete symmetry $\phi \to -\phi$ to remove the $b = 3$ terms. We shall also enforce y-parity symmetry, invariance under $y \to -y$, to remove terms that are odd in $\partial/\partial y$. The full action of our free-field theory, containing both the marginal and relevant terms is

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x dy \left[\partial^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \phi - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \frac{a_\alpha}{\Lambda^{2(\alpha-1)}} \left(\frac{\partial^\alpha \phi}{\partial y^\alpha} \right)^2 - m^2 \phi^2 \right] \,, \tag{2.8}$$

where we are free to set $a_n = 1$.

Note that in the above action, we have assumed that terms such as $\phi(\partial^{2\alpha}\phi/\partial y^{2\alpha})$, $(\partial\phi/\partial y)(\partial^{2\alpha-1}\phi/\partial y^{2\alpha-1})$, and so on, may always be reduced to $(\partial^\alpha \phi/\partial y^\alpha)^2$ by successive integrations-by-parts, dropping the "surface" terms each time. This procedure may be questionable in the non-local $n \to \infty$ limit, where boundary conditions with respect to y may need special treatment. As a general statement, the $n \to \infty$ limit requires careful consideration, because for any finite n the theory is local, whereas in the limit it is non-local.

---

[2] Note that at finite n, renormalisable interaction terms can exist, since $b = 3$ terms are allowed (indeed ϕ^3 is always one of them, even for $n = 1$). But our goal is to have quartic renormalisable interactions, because we want potentials that are bounded from below and because cubic terms are often forbidden by some internal symmetry.

III. POWER-COUNTING RENORMALISATION ANALYSIS

From Eq. (2.8), the propagator for the scalar field ϕ in momentum space reads

$$\Delta_\phi(k,k_y) = \frac{i}{k^2 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} G_\alpha k_y^{2\alpha} - m^2 + i\epsilon} \;, \tag{3.1}$$

where $G_\alpha \equiv a_\alpha/\Lambda^{2(\alpha-1)}$ which has scaling dimension $[G_\alpha] = 2(1-\alpha/n)$, $k^2 = k^\mu k_\mu$ is the usual scalar product in $3+1$-d spacetime, and k_y denotes the momentum component along the extra-dimension y. Note that the scaling dimensions for k, k_y and Δ_ϕ are, respectively,

$$[k] = 1 \;, \qquad [k_y] = \frac{1}{n} \;, \qquad [\Delta_\phi] = -2 \;. \tag{3.2}$$

In the limit of large k_y, the nth term of the summation in (3.1) dominates and we effectively have

$$\Delta_\phi(k,k_y) \simeq \frac{i}{k^2 - G_n k_y^{2n} - m^2 + i\epsilon} \;. \tag{3.3}$$

The rapid decay of the propagator with increasing k_y for large n is the reason higher spatial derivatives soften the UV behaviour.

Having noted the novel scaling properties above, which encode the UV properties of our theory, the power-counting analysis proceeds in a fairly straightforward manner, mimicking the usual procedure for a ϕ^4-theory in $3+1$-d [6]. By going through this argument, we will be able to see exactly how the parameter n progressively allows the "bad" divergences to be relegated to higher and higher orders of perturbation theory as it is increased.

So, we now add a $\lambda\phi^4$ term into our action. We start by noting that the scaling dimension of any diagram (made up of $\lambda\phi^4$ vertices only) with an arbitrary number of external lines, ℓ_E, is given by the sum of the dimensions of its components, namely

$$[\text{diag-}\ell_E] = \left[d^4k\,dk_y\right] L + [\Delta_\phi]\,\ell_P + [\lambda]\,V_\lambda \;, \tag{3.4}$$

$$= \left(4 + \frac{1}{n}\right) L - 2\ell_P - \frac{V_\lambda}{n} \;, \tag{3.5}$$

where L, ℓ_P and V_λ denote the number of loops, propagators and $\lambda\phi^4$ vertices, respectively.[3] For convenience, we have opted not to show the dependence on ℓ_E in $[\text{diag-}\ell_E]$ explicitly at this point.

---

[3] Without loss of generality, we may assume these diagrams are all one-particle irreducible.

Since the coupling constant λ is not a function of k and k_y, the $[\lambda]\,V_\lambda$ component of (3.5) drops out when performing the loop integrations, and hence the dimensionality of that integral (the "superficial degree of divergence") is

$$D_{\text{sup}} = \left(4 + \frac{1}{n}\right) L - 2\ell_P \ , \tag{3.6}$$

$$= [\text{diag-}\ell_E] + \frac{V_\lambda}{n} \ . \tag{3.7}$$

Applying the handshaking lemma and Euler's formula from graph theory to our system, we can write down, respectively,

$$4V_\lambda + \ell_E = 2(\ell_E + \ell_P) \ , \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$L + V_\lambda = \ell_P + 1 \ . \tag{3.9}$$

Substituting these into the definition for $[\text{diag-}\ell_E]$, we can express (3.7) as a function of ℓ_E, n and V_λ as per

$$D_{\text{sup}} = \left(4 + \frac{1}{n}\right) - \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n}\right) \ell_E + \frac{V_\lambda}{n} \ . \tag{3.10}$$

Observe that for a given ℓ_E, the superficial degree of divergence gets worse as you increase the number of vertices (and hence loops) in a diagram. In other words, the theory will require an endless stream of counterterms corresponding to each ℓ_E case for sufficiently large V_λ. But (3.10) also shows that we can simply choose a value for n that is large enough to render the theory (superficially) renormalisable up to a certain order of perturbation theory. Requiring that the superficial degree of divergence be negative for $\ell_E > 4$, we obtain the requirement

$$n > \frac{1 - \ell_E/2 + V_\lambda}{\ell_E - 4} \ . \tag{3.11}$$

For a given order of perturbation theory V_λ, satisfaction of this inequality guarantees that all loop graphs apart from $\ell_E = 2$ and 4 are convergent, and thus no counterterms are required. Let us consider a simple example: suppose we want no divergences (apart from the removable ones for 2- and 4-point functions) to appear before 6th-order. Then Eq. (3.11) tells us we must take $n > 2$ (the strongest constraint is obtained from the smallest allowed $\ell_E > 4$, which is 6).

Of course, the superficial degrees of divergence for 2-point and 4-point functions, given, respectively, by

$$2 + \frac{V_\lambda}{n} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{V_\lambda - 1}{n} \tag{3.12}$$

are always non-negative, so those divergences must be removed in the standard way. Observe that at a given perturbation theory order, these divergences are softened by increasing n. In the limit $n \to \infty$, the dependence on V_λ disappears and (3.10) reduces to the standard ϕ^4-theory result of $4 - \ell_E$.

Increasing n provides a tangible benefit in that it permits one to take the UV cut-off to larger values. Thus, taking the strict $n \to \infty$ limit may not be necessary in practice. To quantify this, consider the radiative generation of the ϕ^6 term, which suffers from the most severe irremovable divergence. The coefficient C_6 of this term has scaling dimension $-2(1 + 1/n)$, so we may write it as

$$C_6 = \frac{c}{U^{2\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)}}, \tag{3.13}$$

where c is dimensionless and U is the UV cut-off with a scaling dimension equal to one. At order V_λ, and taking $U \gg m$, this coefficient evaluates approximately to

$$C_6 \sim \tilde{\lambda}^{V_\lambda} U^{D_{\text{sup}}} \tag{3.14}$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}$ is the quartic coupling constant λ divided by a dimensionless loop factor. The loop integral supplies the cut-off dependent factor $U^{D_{\text{sup}}}$ as per the superficial degree of divergence. Now, for $\ell_E = 6$,

$$D_{\text{sup}} = -2\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) + \frac{V_\lambda}{n} \tag{3.15}$$

according to Eq. (3.10), so that

$$c \sim \left(\tilde{\lambda} U^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)^{V_\lambda}. \tag{3.16}$$

If the quantity in parentheses (which has scaling dimension of zero) is less than one, then the terms in the perturbation series decrease as V_λ increases, which is what we need in order for perturbation theory to make sense. This puts an upper bound on the UV cut-off: $U \lesssim (1/\tilde{\lambda})^n$. For a given value of $\tilde{\lambda}$, we see that higher values for U are permitted as n is increased.

IV. EXTENSION TO GENERAL GAUGE THEORY

A simple examination of Yukawa and gauge extensions of the above suggests that a general gauge theory of scalars and fermions shares the feature of becoming less non-renormalisable as n is increased, though a thorough study of this is beyond the scope of this paper.

The marginal $3+1$-d Lorentz invariant kinetic energy operators for a Dirac field $\psi(x,y)$ provide the action

$$S_\psi = \int d^4x\, dy \left[i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi + \bar{\psi}(a + b\gamma_5)\frac{\partial^n \psi}{\partial y^n}\right], \tag{4.1}$$

where $a(b)$ is real (pure imaginary) for even n and pure imaginary (real) for odd n to ensure hermiticity. This action tells us that the scaling dimension of the Dirac field is

$$[\psi] = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2n}. \tag{4.2}$$

This means that Yukawa coupling terms $h_1\bar{\psi}\psi\phi + h_2\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\psi\phi$ feature Yukawa coupling constants with dimension

$$[h_{1,2}] = -\frac{1}{2n}, \tag{4.3}$$

so they share the property with quartic coupling constants of becoming dimensionless as n tends to infinity.

The standard gauge field kinetic term $-(1/4)\mathrm{Tr}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}$, where the trace is over suitably normalised internal symmetry generators, enforces

$$[A] = 1 + \frac{1}{2n} \tag{4.4}$$

for the gauge field $A^\mu(x,y)$. The scaling dimension of a gauge coupling constant g, defined by the covariant $3+1$-d derivative $\partial_\mu - igA_\mu$, is then obviously

$$[g] = -\frac{1}{2n}, \tag{4.5}$$

just as for the Yukawa coupling constant. Since both gauge and Yukawa coupling constants become dimensionless as n tends to infinity, it is probable that a general Lifshitz gauge theory of this type also has the property of becoming less non-renormalisable as n increases.

There are two *a priori* possible gauge structures. One is based on the observation that the restriction to $3+1$-d Lorentz invariance suggests that the gauge field need only have four components, with the gauge transformation

$$A_\mu(x,y) \to U(x)A_\mu(x,y)U(x)^\dagger - \frac{i}{g}(\partial_\mu U(x))U(x)^\dagger \tag{4.6}$$

depending only on x, not on both x and y. In this case, the gauge-invariant Lifshitz term $\mathrm{Tr}(\partial^n A^\mu/\partial y^n)(\partial^n A_\mu/\partial y^n)$ can be introduced. Gauge invariance is essential in producing standard renormalisable theories of vector fields, so one should be cautious about restricting the gauge functions U to only being dependent on x, which motivates the second gauge structure. If the gauge transformations are required to depend on y as well as x, then the above Lifshitz term is forbidden and a fifth component A_5 must be introduced to produce a covariant derivative $D_y \equiv \partial/\partial y - igA_5(x,y)$ along the y-direction. The gauge-invariant term $-(1/2)\mathrm{Tr}F^{\mu 5}F_{\mu 5}$ then provides the kinetic and gradient energy for A_5. Since this term necessarily contains x-derivatives, higher powers of it are disallowed by the need to avoid the higher time derivatives that would spoil unitarity. Note that it is a relevant operator. This kind of Lifshitz gauge theory was recently studied in Ref. [4]. Note that the nth ordinary derivative in Eq. (4.1) would need to be replaced by the nth power of D_y. Both of the above gauge structures feature a $[g] = -1/2n$ gauge coupling constant, so the fact that it becomes dimensionless in the infinite n limit is independent of which structure is ultimately seen to be superior.

V. CONCLUSION

We have introduced a new kind of Lifshitz theory that may have uses in field-theoretic models involving extra dimensions of space. It maintains $3+1$-d Lorentz invariance, thus ensuring a common limiting speed on that submanifold, but the extra dimension is treated differently. Derivatives of arbitrarily high order with respect to the extra dimension are introduced in order to suppress the propagation of states which have large momentum components along that direction. For $\lambda\phi^4$ theory in $4+1$-d, we proved that the theory becomes less non-renormalisable as the order of the derivative is increased, and suggested that this is also true for a general gauge theory of scalars and fermions including Yukawa coupling terms. We speculate that the non-local theory obtained as the order of the derivative goes to infinity may be ultra-violet complete. The suppression of momentum components along the extra dimension also suggests a connection with dynamical localisation and dimensional reduction.

Acknowledgements

We thank A. Kobakhidze and A. Zee for comments on the manuscript. This work was supported in part by the Australian Research Council. XGH is partially supported by the

NSC and NCTS of Taiwan, and SJTU 985 grant of China. SSCL is supported in part by the NSC and in part by the NCTS of Taiwan.

[1] P. Hořava, Phys. Rev. D79, 084008 (2009); JHEP 0903, 020 (2009); Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 161301 (2009); P. Hořava and C. M. Melby-Thompson, Phys. Rev. D82, 064027 (2010).

[2] R. Iengo, J. G. Russo and M. Serone, JHEP 0911, 020 (2009); D. Anselmi, Annals Phys. 324, 874 (2009); *ibid.* 324, 1058 (2009); Phys. Rev. D79, 025017 (2009); R. Iengo and M. Serone, Phys. Rev. D81, 125005 (2010); B. Chen and Q. G. Huang, Phys. Lett. B683, 108 (2010).

[3] J. E. Thompson and R. R. Volkas, Phys. Rev. D82, 116007 (2010).

[4] H. Hatanaka, M. Sakamoto and K. Takenaga, arXiv:1105.3534.

[5] E. Papantonopoulos, A. Papzoglou and M. Tsoukalas, Phys. Rev. D84, 025016 (2011).

[6] See, for example, M. Srednicki, *Quantum Field Theory* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007); A. Zee, *Quantum Field Theory in a Nutshell*, 2nd ed., (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2010).